
Investment Valuation and Asset Pricing

James W. Kolari · Seppo Pynnönen

Investment Valuation and Asset Pricing

Models and Methods

palgrave
macmillan

James W. Kolari
Mays Business School
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX, USA

Seppo Pynnönen
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Vaasa
Vaasa, Finland

ISBN 978-3-031-16783-6 ISBN 978-3-031-16784-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16784-3>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

For my wife Karie and family Wes and Mary.

—James W. Kolari

*For my wife Marja Leena and family Lauri, Erno,
and Laura and Nicklas and grandchildren*

Sebastian, Benjamin, and Vanessa.

—Seppo Pynnönen

with admiration and love.

Preface

A major change in the field of finance was marked by the advent of the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). Numerous authors are credited with this famous model of how asset prices are determined, including Treynor (1961, 1962), Sharpe (1964), Lintner (1965), Mossin (1966), and Black (1972). According to Black (1981) and recounted by French (2003), Jack Treynor is now recognized to have developed the first version of the CAPM as early as 1958 during a summer vacation to Colorado. As a former graduate of Harvard University, he shared his writings with John Lintner at Harvard in 1960. Never formally publishing his work, in the early 1960s he presented the CAPM as a graduate student at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) to finance faculty, including Robert Merton.¹ A close friend and colleague was Fischer Black, with whom numerous discussions of the model likely led to Black's later work on the related zero-beta CAPM. Additionally, Jan Mossin was from Norway but attended Ph.D. studies at Carnegie Mellon University in the United States. Together, these students and professors worked contemporaneously on the fundamental relation between risk and return (or prices) of assets.

An important foundation underlying the CAPM is the mean-variance analyses of Markowitz (1952, 1959) and Tobin (1958). Harry Markowitz received his Ph.D. in Economics from the University of Chicago on portfolio theory. His work led to the fundamental concept of diversification in portfolio investments. By combining assets in a portfolio with returns that are less than perfectly correlated, investors can reduce risk as measured by the variance of returns. In theory, by varying expected returns, an infinite number of portfolios comprised of assets can be constructed that have minimum risk at different return levels. These portfolios trace out an *efficient frontier* in expected return/variance space. Relevant to the CAPM, total risk (or variance of returns) can be divided into diversifiable and nondiversifiable risks. It is the nondiversifiable portion of risk, known as *systematic risk*, that is the focus of the CAPM. Markowitz worked for some time at the Cowles Foundation of Yale University, where he met James Tobin, a Harvard trained economist and Yale professor. Tobin's insightful *separation principle* posited that risk-averse

¹ See Chapter 2 of Korajczyk (1999) for a reproduction of Treynor (1962).

investors seek: (1) efficient portfolios and (2) some optimum fraction of an efficient portfolio and a riskless asset as determined by their risk aversion. Importantly, these two investment decisions are separate from one another.

It is interesting that the lives of these early pioneers in asset pricing crossed paths with one another during the development of the CAPM. Their interaction was instrumental in the evolution of breakthroughs that transformed our thinking about risk and return in finance. Of course, it helps to be smart too! In this regard, Nobel Prizes in Economics were awarded to Tobin (1981), Markowitz (1990), and Sharpe (1990). Other contributors did not receive this honor due to death or nonpublication but are no less appreciated for their intellectual achievements. Certainly all of these notable scholars played important roles in shaping our understanding of modern finance. This book pays tribute to their accomplishments by retracing their steps in financial history.

Investments Valuation and Asset Pricing: Models and Methods is intended to fill a gap in undergraduate finance curriculums by providing an asset pricing text that is accessible to undergraduate students. The course is most suitable for senior finance students in the last year of their undergraduate studies. Also, it can be used in a graduate finance class, including Executive M.B.A. and Executive Business Education. The book has three unique features that set it apart from other finance textbooks.

1. Original published studies by researchers on the foundations of asset pricing are reviewed in chronological order over time. Retracing their steps in financial history, each chapter stays close to their authentic works, including quotations, examples, graphical exhibits, and empirical results. We want undergraduate students to gain a firm grasp of their achievements in the theory and practice of finance.
2. Important statistical concepts and methods relevant to the field of finance are covered. These statistical materials are crucial to learning asset pricing, which often employs statistical tests to evaluate different asset pricing models. Also, statistical skills are important tools for any finance professional.
3. Practical examples, questions, and problems are included in the text to help students check their learning and better understand the fundamentals of asset pricing.

The roadmap for our journey through the emergence and development of asset pricing is as follows.

- Chapter 1 reviews the diversification principles of Markowitz (1952, 1959).
- Chapter 2 introduces the basic assumptions of investor behavior and financial markets that are foundational to asset pricing models.
- Chapter 3 builds upon these principles by following Sharpe's (1964) derivation of the CAPM under equilibrium market conditions.
- Chapter 4 covers the CAPM market model that is used for empirical estimation using real world return data.

- Chapter 5 reviews the first extension of the CAPM known as the *zero-beta CAPM* by Black (1972).
- Chapter 6 overviews a number of other forms of the CAPM developed by researchers, including the intertemporal CAPM, international asset pricing model (IAPM), consumption CAPM (CCAPM), production CAPM (PCAPM), and conditional CAPM.
- Chapter 7 reviews the famous arbitrage pricing model (APT) by Ross (1976).
- Chapter 8 introduces the innovative Fama and French (1993) three-factor model.
- Chapter 9 expands the discussion to other multifactor models that have become increasingly popular over the past few decades.
- Chapter 10 reviews theory and evidence with respect to a recently proposed special case of the zero-beta CAPM dubbed the *ZCAPM* by Kolari, Liu, and Huang (2021).
- Chapter 11 applies asset pricing models to event studies that investigate the effects of market news announcements on stock returns.

College Station, USA
Vaasa, Finland

James W. Kolari
Seppo Pynnönen

Acknowledgements The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to our Editor Tula Weis as well as Production Manager Redhu Ruthroyoni at Springer. This book would not have been possible without the support of Editor Weis, who worked with us in developing the proposal and shaping the title and content of our book. A number of anonymous referees of our proposal provided valuable comments that were useful in writing the book. Of course, the entire team at Springer is very much appreciated for all their work in making this dream possible.

References

- Black, F. 1972. Capital market equilibrium with restricted borrowing. *Journal of Business* 45: 444–454.
- Black, F. 1981. An open letter to Jack Treynor. *Financial Analysts Journal* July/August. Letters to the Editor, p. 14.
- Fama, E.F., and K.R. French. 1993. The cross-section of expected returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* 33: 3–56.
- French, C. W. 2003. The Treynor capital asset pricing model. *Journal of Investment Management* 1: 60–72.
- Kolari, J.W., W. Liu, and J.Z. Huang. 2021. *A New Model of Capital Asset Prices: Theory and Evidence*. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Korajczyk, R.A. 1999. *Asset Pricing and Portfolio Performance*, 15–22. London, UK: Risk Books.
- Lintner, J. 1965. The valuation of risk assets and the selection of risky investments in stock portfolios and capital budgets. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 47: 13–37.
- Markowitz, H.M. 1952. Portfolio selection. *Journal of Finance* 7: 77–91.
- Markowitz, H. M. 1959. *Portfolio Selection: Efficient Diversification of Investments*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Mossin, J. 1966. Equilibrium in a capital asset market. *Econometrica* 34: 768–783.
- Ross, S. A. 1976. The arbitrage theory of capital asset pricing. *Journal of Economic Theory* 13: 341–360.

- Sharpe, W. F. 1964. Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. *Journal of Finance* 19: 425–442.
- Tobin, J. 1958. Liquidity preference as behavior toward risk. *Review of Economic Studies* 4: 65–86.
- Treynor, J. L. 1961. *Market value, time, and risk*. Unpublished manuscript.
- Treynor, J. L. 1962. *Toward a theory of market value of risky assets*. Unpublished manuscript.

Contents

1	Portfolio Theory and Practice	1
1.1	Ex Ante Valuation	1
1.2	Ex Post Returns and Risk	4
1.3	Summary	11
	Questions	11
	Problems	12
	Appendix A: Optimal Weights for Two Securities	12
	Appendix B: Optimal Weights for Many Assets	13
	References	15
2	Capital Market Conditions	17
2.1	Perfect Capital Markets	18
2.2	Efficient Markets	20
2.3	Risk Aversion	22
2.4	Normally Distributed Returns	26
2.5	Summary	34
	Questions	35
	Problems	36
	References	37
3	Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)	39
3.1	The Present Value of Future Cash Flows	40
3.2	Market Equilibrium Assumptions	42
3.3	Investment Choice with a Riskless Asset	44
3.4	The CAPM	45
3.5	Implications of the CAPM	50
3.6	Summary	52
	Questions	54
	Problems	55
	References	55
4	The Market Model	57
4.1	Empirical Form of the CAPM	58
4.2	Early Tests of the Market Model	59

4.2.1	Black, Jensen, and Scholes Study	59
4.2.2	Fama and MacBeth Study	62
4.3	Estimating the Market Model	64
4.4	A Primer on Regression Analysis	67
4.4.1	On the Interpretation of Regression Coefficients	68
4.4.2	Justification for OLS	69
4.5	OLS Estimation of the Market Model	72
4.5.1	Goodness-of-Fit	73
4.6	Summary	74
	Questions	76
	Problems	78
	Appendix A: Statistical Inference	78
	References	82
5	The Zero-Beta CAPM	85
5.1	Zero-Beta CAPM	85
5.1.1	Assumptions	85
5.1.2	Theoretical Model	86
5.2	Empirical Tests	88
5.3	Connection to Later Research	91
5.4	GRS Test for Portfolio Efficiency	93
5.5	Summary	96
	Questions	97
	Problems	98
	Appendix A: Mathematical Derivation of the Zero-Beta CAPM	99
	Appendix B: R Snippet for the GRS Testing Example in Section 5.4	101
	References	103
6	Alternative CAPM Specifications	105
6.1	Intertemporal CAPM	106
6.2	International Asset Pricing Model (IAPM)	109
6.2.1	World Market Factor	109
6.2.2	Exchange Rate Risk	112
6.3	Consumption CAPM	115
6.4	Production CAPM	118
6.5	Conditional CAPM	120
6.6	Summary	123
	Questions	124
	Problems	126
	References	126
7	The Arbitrage Pricing Theory Model	129
7.1	APT Model	130
7.1.1	Assumptions	130
7.1.2	Theoretical Model	130

7.2	Empirical Testing	134
7.3	Summary	136
	Questions	137
	Problems	137
	References	138
8	Multifactor Models	139
8.1	What Explains Stock Returns?	140
8.2	Fama and French Three-Factor Model	142
8.3	Three-Factor Controversy	147
8.4	Summary	150
	Questions	151
	Problems	152
	References	153
9	Anomalies and Multifactor Models	155
9.1	Carhart Four-Factor Model	156
9.2	Fama and French Four- and Five-Factor Models	158
9.3	Hou, Xue, and Zhang Four-Factor Model	160
9.4	Stambaugh and Yuan Four-Factor Model	161
9.5	Fama and French Six-Factor Model	163
9.6	Fama and French Cross-Section Factors	164
9.7	Lettau and Pelger Latent Five-Factor Model	167
9.8	Rise of the Machines	169
9.9	Summary	171
	Questions	173
	Problems	174
	References	174
10	A Special Case of the Zero-Beta CAPM: The ZCAPM	177
10.1	Theoretical ZCAPM	178
10.2	Empirical ZCAPM	184
10.3	Empirical Evidence	186
	10.3.1 Risk and Return Relations	187
	10.3.2 Predicted and Actual Return Relations	188
	10.3.3 Fama and MacBeth Cross-Sectional Tests	192
10.4	Summary	195
	Questions	197
	Problems	199
	References	199
11	Event Studies	201
11.1	Short-Run Event Studies	202
	11.1.1 Basic Steps in an Event Study	202
	11.1.2 Abnormal Returns	203
	11.1.3 Parametric Testing	205
	11.1.4 Examples	209

11.2	Long-Run Event Studies	213
11.2.1	Buy-and-Hold Abnormal Return Approach	215
11.2.2	Calendar Time Abnormal Return Approach	216
11.2.3	Example	217
11.3	Summary	218
	Questions	219
	Problems	219
	Appendix A: Nonparametric Testing	221
	References	223
Index	227

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Correlation of security returns and effects on portfolio returns	7
Fig. 1.2	Combinations of two securities to form a portfolio	8
Fig. 1.3	The minimum variance boundary of portfolios based on data for securities 1 and 2 in Table 1.3	8
Fig. 1.4	The minimum variance boundary of portfolios and opportunity set of all assets	9
Fig. 2.1	Utility analysis of choices involving risk	24
Fig. 2.2	Expected returns and utility for multiple risky investment choices	25
Fig. 2.3	Mean-variance indifference curve of a risk-averse investor	25
Fig. 2.4	Empirical return distributions for Microsoft, U.S. S &P 500 index, and German Dax index using daily, weekly, and monthly returns	33
Fig. 3.1	Equilibrium relationship between expected rates of return and risk	43
Fig. 3.2	Combining the efficient frontier and indifference curves	43
Fig. 3.3	Locating market portfolio as a tangent point on the ray from riskless rate	45
Fig. 3.4	Pricing capital assets using systematic or beta risk	48
Fig. 3.5	Expected rates of return and beta risk	48
Fig. 4.1	The estimated market model for Microsoft (MSFT) stock returns with fitted regression line	66
Fig. 5.1	A graphical depiction of Black's zero-beta CAPM	87
Fig. 5.2	Industry portfolios and S &P 500 index returns with ex post efficient frontier and the market line	95
Fig. 6.1	A three-dimensional depiction of an empirical ICAPM with two systematic beta risk factors: (1) market factor beta $\beta_{i,M}$, and (2) state variable beta $\beta_{i,s1}$	108
Fig. 6.2	Alternative CAPM specifications based on the intertemporal CAPM (ICAPM)	109

Fig. 6.3	Currency risk in world currency markets affects the stock returns in different countries through their exchange rate risk exposure or sensitivity to national currency movements	114
Fig. 6.4	Consumers buy and sell financial assets over time to smooth consumption in the consumption CAPM (CCAPM)	116
Fig. 6.5	Close relation between stock return and capital investment forecasts in the production CAPM (PCAPM)	118
Fig. 6.6	Beta estimates for the market factor tend to vary randomly over time consistent with the unconditional CAPM but contrary to the conditional CAPM	122
Fig. 7.1	Arbitraging riskless profits by buying and selling mispriced assets (<i>Source</i> Adapted from Wikipedia [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arbitrage_pricing_theory])	131
Fig. 8.1	Stocks are sorted into a 2×3 matrix by size and value (BM). The size factor is the average returns of the three small (blue) portfolios minus the three (red) big portfolios, and the value factor is average returns of the two value (green) portfolios minus the two growth (brown) portfolios	142
Fig. 8.2	The Fama and French three-factor model with excess returns a function of market, size, and value factors takes into account four dimensions in return/risk space	143
Fig. 9.1	Momentum factor returns are long/short zero-investment portfolio returns	156
Fig. 9.2	Multifactor models extensions of the original Fama and French three-factor model using discretionary methods	170
Fig. 9.3	Machine learning methods based on artificial intelligence (AI) models rather than human judgment	170
Fig. 10.1	Locating orthogonal portfolios I^* and ZI^* on the mean-variance parabola (<i>Source</i> Kolari et al. 2021, p. 59)	179
Fig. 10.2	The ZCAPM with beta risk related to average excess market returns and zeta risk associated with positive and negative sensitivity to return dispersion (<i>Source</i> Kolari et al. 2021, p. 72)	183
Fig. 10.3	Two-sided, opposite effects of return dispersion on the expected returns of two assets (<i>Source</i> Kolari et al. 2021, p. 94)	183

Fig. 10.4 This graph shows the average beta risk, zeta risk, and one-month-ahead equal-weighted returns for 25 beta-zeta sorted portfolios. These long-only portfolios approximate the shape on an investment parabola. In each one-year estimation window, the empirical ZCAPM is estimated using daily returns to obtain the beta and zeta risk parameters. The analysis period is January 1965–December 2018 (*Source* Kolari et al. 2021, p. 272) 185

Fig. 10.5 Out-of-sample cross-sectional ZCAPM relationship between average one-month-ahead realized excess returns in percent (Y-axis) and average beta risk $\beta_{i,a}$ in the previous 12-month estimation period (X-axis). Results are shown for 25 size-BM portfolios used in the Fama and French studies. Portfolios are sorted into zeta risk $Z_{i,a}^*$ quintiles and then beta risk $\beta_{i,a}$ quintiles within each $Z_{i,a}^*$ quintile. The analysis period is January 1965–December 2018 (*Source* Kolari et al. 2021, p. 141) 188

Fig. 10.6 Out-of-sample cross-sectional ZCAPM relationship between average one-month-ahead realized excess returns in percent (Y-axis) and average zeta risk $Z_{i,a}^*$ in the previous 12-month estimation period (X-axis). Results are shown for 25 size-BM portfolios used in the Fama and French studies. Portfolios are sorted into beta risk $\beta_{i,a}$ quintiles and then zeta risk $Z_{i,a}^*$ quintiles within each $\beta_{i,a}$ quintile. The analysis period is January 1965–December 2018 (*Source* Kolari et al. 2021, p. 140) 189

Fig. 10.7 Out-of-sample cross-sectional relationship between average one-month-ahead realized excess returns in percent (Y-axis) and average one-month-ahead predicted (fitted) excess returns in percent (X-axis) for 25 size-BM sorted portfolios: Fama and French three-factor model in Panel A and empirical ZCAPM in Panel B. The analysis period is January 1965 to December 2018 (*Source* Kolari et al. 2021, p. 146) 189

Fig. 10.8 Out-of-sample cross-sectional relationship between average one-month-ahead realized excess returns in percent (Y-axis) and average one-month-ahead predicted (fitted) excess returns in percent (X-axis) for 25 size-BM sorted plus 47 industry portfolios: Fama and French Fama and French three-factor model in Panel A and empirical ZCAPM in Panel B. The analysis period is January 1965–December 2018 (*Source* Kolari et al. 2021, p. 147) 190

Fig. 10.9	Out-of-sample cross-sectional relationship between average one-month-ahead realized excess returns in percent (Y-axis) and average one-month-ahead predicted (fitted) excess returns in percent (X-axis) for 25 profit-investment sorted portfolios: Fama and French six-factor model in Panel A and empirical ZCAPM in Panel B. The analysis period is January 1965 to December 2018 (<i>Source</i> Kolari et al. 2021, p. 150)	191
Fig. 10.10	Out-of-sample cross-sectional relationship between average one-month-ahead realized excess returns in percent (Y-axis) and average one-month-ahead predicted (fitted) excess returns in percent (X-axis) for 25 profit-investment sorted plus 47 industry portfolios: Fama and French Fama and French six-factor model in Panel A and empirical ZCAPM in Panel B. The analysis period is January 1965–December 2018 (<i>Source</i> Kolari et al. 2021, p. 151)	191
Fig. 11.1	Cumulative abnormal returns for selected industries in response to 2008 financial crisis news events	213
Fig. 11.2	Cumulative abnormal returns for selected industries in response to 2020 COVID-19 crisis news events	214

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Expected rate of return calculation (in percent terms)	3
Table 1.2	Actual or realized (ex post) return and risk over time (in percent terms)	5
Table 1.3	Portfolio return and risk effects (in percent terms)	6
Table 2.1	Sample statistics for S &P 500 index, DAX index, and Microsoft Corporation: daily, weekly, and monthly returns (in percent)	32
Table 4.1	Monthly returns for Microsoft (MSFT), S &P 500 index, and Treasury bills from January 2018 to December 2020	65
Table 8.1	Average monthly returns for U.S. stock portfolios sorted by size and book-to-market equity (BM) from July 1963 to December 1990 in the Fama and French (1992) study	141
Table 8.2	Goodness-of-fit R^2 estimates for 25 U.S. stock portfolios sorted on size and book-to-market equity (BM) from July 1963 to December 1991 in the Fama and French (1993) study	144
Table 8.3	Intercept or α estimates for 25 U.S. stock portfolios sorted on size and book-to-market equity (BM) from July 1963 to December 1991 in the Fama and French (1993) study	145
Table 9.1	The relation between mutual fund performance and their operating characteristics in the Carhart (1997) study	158
Table 9.2	Intercept or α estimates for selected anomaly portfolios from January 1967 to December 2013 in the Stambaugh and Yuan (2017) study	162
Table 9.3	Mispricing error of different models in the Lettau and Pelger (2020) study	168
Table 10.1	Out-of-sample Fama–MacBeth cross-sectional tests for ZCAPM regression factor loadings compared to other asset pricing models in the period January 1965–December 2018: 12-month rolling windows	193
Table 11.1	Short-run event study results for 50 SEO events of U.S. firms from 1985 to 2015	210

Table 11.2	Abnormal returns for four industries using the Fama and French five-factor model: Selected news announcement dates during the 2008 financial crisis and 2020 COVID-19 crisis	212
Table 11.3	Long-run event study results for 200 SEO events of U.S. firms from 1985 to 2015	218